



## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231

		Vb
TORNEY	DOCKET	NO.

APPLICATION NO.

005487

 $\Gamma$ 

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

AT

09/153,838

09/15/98

WILS

ST96016-US

HM22/0926 AVENTIS PHARMACEUTICALS PRODUCTS INC ROUTE 202-206; MAIL STOP: EMC-G1

PO BOX 6800

BRIDGEWATER NJ 08807

KETTER, J

**EXAMINER** 

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1636

DATE MAILED:

09/26/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding. **Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

- SEE ATTACHED

	Application No.	Applicat(s)	
Office Action Summary	1 '''		set di
	Examiner		Group Art Unit
	J. KETTE.	7	1676
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	orrespondence address-
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE THREE	MONTH(S	) FROM THE MAILING DA
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significant contents.</li> </ul>	a reply within the statutory minimulant, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) the mailing dat	days will be considered timely.
Status	1. /		
	//9/00		•
☐ This action is FINAL.			*
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>	ept for formal matters, <b>prose</b> 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to	the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims			
$\mathbb{R}$ Claim(s) $\ell - 22$		is/are pending in the application.	
		is/are withdrawn from consideration	
□ Claim(s)			
$\Box \text{ Claim(s)} \qquad \Box \qquad $		is/are i	reiected.
☐ Claim(s)		•	
•		are subject to restriction or election	
		requirement.	
Application Papers			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	• • •		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	☐ disapprove	d.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	1		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> </ul>	of the priority documents hav	ve been	·
☐ received in this national stage application from the Ir	·	• • • •	
*Certified copies not received:	- MAN		•
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	· No(s) □ Int	terview Sumn	narv. PTO-413

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Part of Paper No.

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

☐ Other\_

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/153,838 Page 2

Art Unit: 1636

Claims 1-22 are allowed. This non-final Office Action is being sent in response to the Brief on Appeal filed 19 July 2000, reopening prosecution on the merits to include the new grounds of rejection under 35 USC § 102, supra. The delay in reconsidering the disclosures in the specification and the relationship of such to the exact nature of the claimed invention are regretted.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 25 and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Woodard et al. (A), for reasons of record set forth in papers Nos.2 and 6, mailed 23 November 1998 and 17 August 1999, respectively.

At page 3 of the Brief, Applicants argue that Woodard et al. does not teach removal of chromosomal DNA. However, as set forth in the previous Office Action, at column 1, lines 12-33, it is taught that the method is useful for isolating plasmids. To isolate a plasmid means to remove all non-plasmid DNA, including chromosomal DNA. The fact that lambda bacteriophage

Application/Control Number: 09/153,838

Page 3

Art Unit: 1636

was exemplified does not negate the teachings at column 1 of plasmid isolation. Applicants argue further, that no teaching of pharmaceutical grade DNA is provided in Woodard et al. However, the highly pure plasmid DNA resulting from conventional purification methods such as Woodard et al. discloses, would be deemed pharmaceutical grade. "Pharmaceutical" is merely one description for highly purified plasmid DNA. Other reasons for such purity exist. Indeed, Applicants do not even claim a pharmaceutical composition, and the purity of the composition as claimed is defined by means other than pharmaceutically. Applicants argue further, that Woodard et al. is silent about the endotoxin content. However, as set forth in previous Office Actions, the low level of endotoxin would inherently result form the conventional purification methods disclosed by Woodard et al. At the Abstract, Woodard et al. even states, with respect to DNA bound to the disclosed column, that the column material "is washed to remove *all* cellular components other than DNA which are [sic] bound to the material" [italics added]. Thus, it is reasonable to assert that the DNA produced by Woodard et al. is of such high purity as to be free from all non-DNA components, thus inherently meeting the limitations of the instant claims.

Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by the prior art as admitted by Applicants.

At pages 1 and 2 of the specification, Applicants teach that conventional laboratory methods of producing plasmid DNA for pharmaceutical purposes were inadequate because said methods could not be scaled up readily. For example, see the fourth paragraph at page 1, wherein

Application/Control Number: 09/153,838

Art Unit: 1636

Applicants state "[t]wo of these laboratory methods are those which are most frequently employed and which give the best results." At the second full paragraph at page 2, Applicants go on to criticize these methods, not for the presence of endotoxins or chromosomal DNA, but rather for the use and possible residue of ethidium bromide and enzymes of animal origin. However, these contaminants are not excluded from the claimed compositions. As such, Applicants' comparison of the advantages of the disclosed and claimed ceramic hydroxyapatite method (which remain patentable) with older, slower, and smaller scale methods in the prior art, is understood to be a tacit admission that said older methods produced the same product, however less easily.

Page 4

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1805 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(see 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Art Unit 1636 Fax number is (703) 305-7939. NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax to this number, the examiner must be notified promptly, to ensure matching of the faxed paper to the application file, and the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014 may be used without notification of the examiner, with such faxed papers being handled in the manner of mailed responses. Applicants are encouraged to use the latter two fax numbers unless immediate action by the

Application/Control Number: 09/153,838

Page 5

Art Unit: 1636

examiner is required, e.g., during discussions of claim language for allowable subject matter. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Ketter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1169. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM-5:30 PM, and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, George Elliott, can be contacted at (703) 308-4003.

James Ketter

September 25, 2000

**PRIMARY EXAMINER**